

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 09-CIV- 80469 – MARRA/JOHNSON

JANE DOE II,

Plaintiff,

v.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

**NOTICE OF RELIANCE ON AND INCORPORATION OF DEFENDANT'S
REPLY (DE 31) TO PLAINTIFF'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN OPPOSITION
TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS(DE 20 & 36)**


Defendant, JEFFREY EPSTEIN, (hereinafter "EPSTEIN"), by and through his undersigned attorneys, hereby gives notice of his intent to rely on and incorporate hereon Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff's Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss:

1. Defendant, EPSTEIN, filed his Motion to Dismiss on May 9, 2009 (DE 13).
2. Plaintiff, Jane Doe II, filed her initial Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendant Epstein's Motion to Dismiss on May 22, 2009 (DE 20).
3. Defendant, EPSTEIN, filed his Reply to Plaintiff, Jane Doe II's, Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendant Epstein's Motion to Dismiss on June 1, 2009 (DE 31).
4. After a hearing on June 12, 2009, counsel for Plaintiff, Jane Doe II, stated he would withdraw certain claims in Plaintiff, Jane Doe II's initial Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendant Epstein's Motion to Dismiss (DE 20).

Jane Doe II v. Epstein, et al.
Page 2

5. Therefore, Plaintiff, Jane Doe II, filed her Amended Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendant Epstein's Motion to Dismiss on June 12, 2009 (DE 36).

6. Based upon the forgoing, Defendant relies on his Reply to Plaintiff, Jane Doe II's, Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendant Epstein's Motion to Dismiss (DE 31) and incorporates same herein by reference as if same was filed in Reply to Plaintiff, Jane Doe II's, Amended Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendant Epstein's Motion to Dismiss (DE 36). Accordingly, Defendant, EPSTEIN, stands on those arguments set forth in DE 31 and, therefore, will not be filing an additional supplemental reply.

By: 
ROBERT D. CRITTON, JR., ESQ.
Florida Bar No. 224162
rcrit@bclclaw.com
MICHAEL J. PIKE, ESQ.
Florida Bar #617296
mpike@bclclaw.com

Jane Doe II v. Epstein, et al.
Page 3

Certificate of Service

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing document is being served this day on all counsel of record identified on the following service list in the manner specified via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF on this 19th day of June, 2009:

Isidro M. Garcia, Esq.
Garcia Law Firm, P.A.
224 Datura Street, Suite 900
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
561-832-7732
561-832-7137 F
isidrogarcia@bellsouth.net
Counsel for Plaintiff

Jack Alan Goldberger, Esq.
Atterbury Goldberger & Weiss, P.A.
250 Australian Avenue South
Suite 1400
West Palm Beach, FL 33401-5012
561-659-8300
561-835-8691 Fax
jagesq@bellsouth.net
Co-Counsel for Defendant Jeffrey Epstein

Respectfully submitted,

By: 
ROBERT D. CRITTON, JR., ESQ.
Florida Bar No. 224162
rcrit@bclclaw.com

MICHAEL J. PIKE, ESQ.

Florida Bar #617296

mpike@bclclaw.com

BURMAN, CRITTON, LUTTIER & COLEMAN

515 N. Flagler Drive, Suite 400

West Palm Beach, FL 33401

561-842-2820

Fax: 561-515-3148

(Co-counsel for Defendant Jeffrey Epstein)