

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 09-CIV- 80469 – MARRA/JOHNSON

JANE DOE II,

Plaintiff,

v.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

---

**NOTICE OF RELIANCE ON AND INCORPORATION OF DEFENDANT'S  
REPLY (DE 31) TO PLAINTIFF'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN OPPOSITION  
TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS(DE 20 & 36)**

Defendant, JEFFREY EPSTEIN, (hereinafter "EPSTEIN"), by and through his undersigned attorneys, hereby gives notice of his intent to rely on and incorporate hereon Defendant's Reply to Plaintiff's Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss:

1. Defendant, EPSTEIN, filed his Motion to Dismiss on May 9, 2009 (DE 13).
2. Plaintiff, Jane Doe II, filed her initial Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendant Epstein's Motion to Dismiss on May 22, 2009 (DE 20).
3. Defendant, EPSTEIN, filed his Reply to Plaintiff, Jane Doe II's, Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendant Epstein's Motion to Dismiss on June 1, 2009 (DE 31).
4. After a hearing on June 12, 2009, counsel for Plaintiff, Jane Doe II, stated he would withdraw certain claims in Plaintiff, Jane Doe II's initial Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendant Epstein's Motion to Dismiss (DE 20).

Jane Doe II v. Epstein, et al.  
Page 2

5. Therefore, Plaintiff, Jane Doe II, filed her Amended Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendant Epstein's Motion to Dismiss on June 12, 2009 (DE 36).

6. Based upon the forgoing, Defendant relies on his Reply to Plaintiff, Jane Doe II's, Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendant Epstein's Motion to Dismiss (DE 31) and incorporates same herein by reference as if same was filed in Reply to Plaintiff, Jane Doe II's, Amended Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendant Epstein's Motion to Dismiss (DE 36). Accordingly, Defendant, EPSTEIN, stands on those arguments set forth in DE 31 and, therefore, will not be filing an additional supplemental reply.

By:   
ROBERT D. CRITTON, JR., ESQ.  
Florida Bar No. 224162  
[rcrit@bclclaw.com](mailto:rcrit@bclclaw.com)  
MICHAEL J. PIKE, ESQ.  
Florida Bar #617296  
[mpike@bclclaw.com](mailto:mpike@bclclaw.com)

Jane Doe II v. Epstein, et al.  
Page 3


**Certificate of Service**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing document is being served this 19 day on all counsel of record identified on the following service list in the manner specified via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF on this 19 day of June, 2009:

Isidro M. Garcia, Esq.  
Garcia Law Firm, P.A.  
224 Datura Street, Suite 900  
West Palm Beach, FL 33401  
561-832-7732  
561-832-7137 F  
[isidrogarcia@bellsouth.net](mailto:isidrogarcia@bellsouth.net)  
*Counsel for Plaintiff*

Jack Alan Goldberger, Esq.  
Atterbury Goldberger & Weiss, P.A.  
250 Australian Avenue South  
Suite 1400  
West Palm Beach, FL 33401-5012  
561-659-8300  
561-835-8691 Fax  
[jagesq@bellsouth.net](mailto:jagesq@bellsouth.net)  
*Co-Counsel for Defendant Jeffrey Epstein*

Respectfully submitted,

By:   
ROBERT D. CRITTON, JR., ESQ.  
Florida Bar No. 224162  
[rcrit@bclclaw.com](mailto:rcrit@bclclaw.com)  
MICHAEL J. PIKE, ESQ.  
Florida Bar #617296  
[mpike@bclclaw.com](mailto:mpike@bclclaw.com)  
BURMAN, CRITTON, LUTTIER & COLEMAN  
515 N. Flagler Drive, Suite 400  
West Palm Beach, FL 33401  
561-842-2820  
Fax: 561-515-3148  
*(Co-counsel for Defendant Jeffrey Epstein)*