

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

JANE DOE NO. 2,

CASE NO.: 08-CV-80119-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

/

JANE DOE NO. 3,

CASE NO.: 08-CV-80232-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

/

JANE DOE NO. 4,

CASE NO.: 08-CV-80380-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

vs. JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

/

JANE DOE NO. 5,

CASE NO.: 08-CV-80381-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

/

JANE DOE NO. 6,

CASE NO.: 08-80994-CIV-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

/

JANE DOE NO. 7,

CASE NO.: 08-80993-CIV-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

/

C.M.A.,

CASE NO.: 08-80811-CIV-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

/

JANE DOE,

CASE NO.: 08- 80893-CIV-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN et al,

Defendants.

/

DOE II,

CASE NO.: 09- 80469-CIV-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN et al,

Defendants.

/

JANE DOE NO. 101,

CASE NO.: 09- 80591-CIV-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

/

ORDER

THIS CAUSE is before the Court on Plaintiffs' Motion for Protective Order Against Piecemeal Depositions of Jane Doe No.'s 2, 3, 4, and 7 and Motion to Consolidate Cases for Purposes of Discovery (DE 66 in 08-80119; DE 58 in 08-80232; DE 73 in 08-80380; DE 23 in 08-80993). The Court has reviewed the motions, responses, replies, and is otherwise fully advised in the premises. It is hereby

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:

Plaintiffs' Motion for Protective Order Against Piecemeal Depositions is **GRANTED**, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c). As to each of the ten above-styled cases, Defendant is limited

to a single deposition of each Plaintiff, during which Defendant may depose the plaintiff as both a party and a witness to all other cases of a similar nature of which the plaintiff deposed is aware. Defendant should not expect to be able to re-depose any plaintiff relative to any new cases that may be filed. Therefore, Defendant should examine each plaintiff about the facts relating to all individuals of whom they are aware, regardless of whether an individual has in fact filed a claim against Defendant. In the event additional cases are filed, upon a showing of good cause, the Court will determine whether Defendant will be permitted to re-depose any of the plaintiffs as witnesses to the allegations made in those newly filed cases.

Plaintiffs' Motion to Consolidate Cases for Purposes of Discovery is **GRANTED**. In the interests of judicial economy and efficiency, cases 08-80119, 08-80232, 08-80380, and 08-80993 are hereby **CONSOLIDATED** for purposes of discovery only.

Additionally, the parties in the other six above-styled causes (08-80381, 08-80994, 08-80811, 08-80893 09-80469, 09-80591) are hereby **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE** on or before May 5, 2009 why all of the cases should not be consolidated for discovery purposes.

DONE AND ORDERED in Chambers at West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, this 28th day of April, 2009

Copies furnished to:
all counsel of record



KENNETH A. MARRA
United States District Judge