

From: "[REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>
To: "[REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>
Cc: "[REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Cooperator info re Epstein
Date: Sat, 24 Aug 2019 18:38:06 +0000

Thanks. Did he provide more substance beyond he heard information relating to Epstein? If so, let's talk Monday.

From: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, August 24, 2019 1:52 AM
To: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Cc: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: Cooperator info re Epstein

Let me know if you want to meet with [REDACTED]. [REDACTED], and we haven't yet decided whether to extend him a cooperation agreement.

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 23, 2019, at 6:12 PM, [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Hi [REDACTED] and [REDACTED],

I just spoke with [REDACTED], who got a call from an aspiring cooperator at MCC named [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] reported that another MCC inmate known [REDACTED]—gave him information relating to Epstein. For several months, [REDACTED] has been desperately trying to figure out how he can cooperate, often relying on second- and third-hand information that's not actionable unless another inmate also cooperates. We can also talk in person next week about the relative quality of his information.

I leave it to you guys to follow up with [REDACTED] as you see fit. I'm sure someone on this email can make [REDACTED] available to you, if you want, once you get a sense of what he's about. I just don't want to unilaterally sit on this.

Have a nice weekend!

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Assistant United States Attorney
Southern District of New York
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]