

From: "████████ (USANYS)" <████████>
To: "████████ (USANYS)" <████████>
Subject: RE: Epstein forfeiture
Date: Wed, 14 Aug 2019 13:12:43 +0000

Probably – although her posture is different now that she is the principal subject; she may think the chances of being charged have gone up and want to talk us out of it.

From: █████ (USANYS) <████████>
Sent: Wednesday, August 14, 2019 9:06 AM
To: █████ (USANYS) <████████>
Subject: Re: Epstein forfeiture

I can't conceive of her being willing to come in with no protection at all if she wasn't willing to come in under a proffer agreement.

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 14, 2019, at 9:03 AM, █████ (USANYS) <████████> wrote:

He also wants us to reach out to █████ counsel, make clear that the investigation is active and continuing, and either ask if there is any movement on her position/potential for cooperation against others, and/or if she wants to speak to us under an innocence proffer and explain her side of the story, including victimization.

From: █████ (USANYS)
Sent: Wednesday, August 14, 2019 9:01 AM
To: █████ (USANYS) <████████>; █████ (USANYS) 3 <████████>
Subject: Epstein forfeiture

I ran into Geoff this morning, and he said he'd like to meet this afternoon – I think around 230 or 3, does that work? FYI, he sounds more bullish than I think we were thinking, so there may need to be some expectations managing.

████████
Chief, Public Corruption Unit
United States Attorney's Office for the
Southern District of New York
1 St. Andrew's Plaza
t. █████