

From: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
To: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Cc: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>

Subject: RE: Question re AJN/Maxwell Suppression Hearing

Date: Wed, 16 Jun 2021 14:53:25 +0000

Attachments: Ex._C_Sealed.pdf

From: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, June 16, 2021 10:40 AM
To: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Cc: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Question re AJN/Maxwell Suppression Hearing

Can I see our original application to Judge Sweet? And I assume there was no transcript before Judge S?

From: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2021 5:45 PM
To: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Cc: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Question re AJN/Maxwell Suppression Hearing

In the spirit of completeness, I'm also attaching their replies.

From: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2021 5:20 PM
To: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Cc: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: Question re AJN/Maxwell Suppression Hearing

Goodness!

[REDACTED]
Associate U.S. Attorney
Southern District of New York
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

On Jun 15, 2021, at 5:06 PM, [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]> wrote:

She filed 12 (!!) separate MOLs as a way to evade the Court's page limits. Defense attorneys have started doing that over the last few years.

From: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2021 4:25 PM
To: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>

EFTA00032725

Cc: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Question re AJN/Maxwell Suppression Hearing

Dumb Q: why does Maxwell have two memos of law?

From: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2021 2:19 PM
To: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Cc: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Question re AJN/Maxwell Suppression Hearing

[REDACTED]

Per our discussion, I am attaching: (1) Maxwell's two briefs raising the suppression argument; (2) the transcript of the McMahon proceedings and her opinion (Ex D, E, G); (3) our brief (see pp 59-115); and (4) the exhibits we attached to our motion (Ex 4-7). Judge Nathan has said that she will resolve the suppression motions "at a later time" ahead of trial. Thanks very much.

From: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2021 10:09 AM
To: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Cc: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Question re AJN/Maxwell Suppression Hearing

Sure, set a time other than 2:00. I'm in the office. Or Webex

From: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2021 9:11 AM
To: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Cc: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Question re AJN/Maxwell Suppression Hearing

Hi [REDACTED],

We had an issue come up related to the upcoming suppression hearing (no date set yet, although we expect one) related to [REDACTED] that we'd like your thoughts on. Let us know a convenient time to stop by over the next few days, thanks.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Chief, Public Corruption Unit
U.S. Attorney's Office
Southern District of New York
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]