

SCOTT A. SREBNICK, P.A.

SCOTT A. SREBNICK*
* ALSO ADMITTED IN NEW YORK

201 S. Biscayne Boulevard
Suite 1210
Miami, Florida 33131

Tel: 305-285-9019
Fax: 305-377-9937

E-mail: scott@srebnicklaw.com
www.srebnicklaw.com

December 2, 2019

Via E-mail

United States Attorney's Office
Southern District of New York
One Saint Andrew's Plaza
New York, NY 10007

Re: *United States v. Michael Avenatti*
Case No. S1 19 Cr 373 (PGG)

Gentlemen:

On behalf of Mr. Avenatti, we make the request below pursuant to Rule 16(a)(1)(E)(i) and the government's obligations under the Due Process Clause, *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), *Giglio v. United States*, 405 U.S. 150 (1972), and their progeny. This request is meant to direct the government's attention to specific evidence. It is not intended to be a substitute for general categories of evidence that the government is otherwise required to produce under the Due Process Clause and the above authorities (e.g., plea agreements, deferred prosecution agreements, non-prosecution agreements, promises and benefits to witnesses, threatened charges against witnesses, etc.), all of which we request as well.

On Saturday, November 30, 2019, the New York Times ("Times") published an investigative report entitled "Jeffrey Epstein, Blackmail, and a Lucrative 'Hot List'." See <https://www.nytimes.com/2019/11/30/business/david-boies-pottinger-jeffrey-epstein-videos.html>. The Times reported about an agreement between David Boies, the founder of the Boies Schiller Flexner ("BSF") law firm and its Chairman and Managing Partner since 1997, and attorney John Stanley Pottinger, to purchase what they believed to be videos secretly made by Jeffrey Epstein of famous wealthy men engaged in sexual activities with different women at Epstein's residence. The videos were supposedly in the possession of a man who identified himself to Boies as Patrick Kessler. Because the videos were purportedly made at Epstein's direction, Boies and Pottinger undoubtedly believed that at least some of the videos constituted evidence of serious criminal sex offenses targeting minors. The Times's reporting suggests that Boies and Pottinger were plotting to use the videos to secure multi-million-dollar payoffs from these wealthy men in exchange for confidentiality (*i.e.*, concealing the videos from law enforcement and the public), in part by entering into agreements to be retained by the actual alleged abusers. The evidence further suggests that Boies had no idea whether any of his current clients (alleged Epstein victims) were depicted in the videos, but that did not matter to Boies because, as the Times

suggests, even in the absence of clients, Boies and Pottinger were planning to utilize the illicit videos to obtain multi-million-dollar settlements from the wealthy men.

This conduct was the subject of Episode 22 of *The Weekly*, a television series of the Times, which airs on FX and Hulu. Episode 22, which aired a few days ago, included interviews of Boies and Pottinger. See <https://www.nytimes.com/2019/11/29/the-weekly/jeffrey-epstein-secret-videos.html>. The interviews confirmed that the purpose of the plan was to get these wealthy men to pay money in exchange for what Boies described in his interview as “peace.” The “peace” meant burying evidence of criminal conduct from the public (and presumably law enforcement).

As described, Mr. Boies’s conduct amounts to conspiracy to commit extortion, as interpreted by the USAO-SDNY. In furtherance thereof (*i.e.*, one of several overt acts), Boies and Pottinger sought to enlist the assistance of the press as part of their scheme and invited reporters from the Times to meet Kessler at the BSF office in Manhattan. According to the Times, “[t]he threat of [a] major news organization writing about the videos – and confirming the existence of an extensive surveillance apparatus – could greatly enhance the lawyers’ leverage over the wealthy men.” The conduct, as described, would appear to also violate other criminal statutes, including 18 U.S.C. §§2252 and 2252A. Venue for this conduct plainly exists in the Southern District of New York.

As you know, BSF lawyers were the ones who reported Mr. Avenatti’s alleged scheme to extort Nike to federal law enforcement and the USAO-SDNY. Based on our prior conversations with you, it is clear that one or more BSF lawyers will be witnesses at Mr. Avenatti’s trial. Evidence that their boss and law partner David Boies may have engaged in the above-described conduct – and could potentially be prosecuted by the USAO-SDNY -- is highly relevant to the motives of the testifying BSF lawyers to curry favor with the government. After all, Boies is known to be a chief rainmaker at the BSF firm and his reputation has been essential to the success of BSF. Indeed, if Boies were indicted for this conduct, the partners at BSF would surely suffer a substantial financial impact.

Accordingly, we demand any evidence in the possession of the USAO-SDNY pertaining to David Boies’s conduct as described in the Times report and Episode 22 of The Weekly. We further demand disclosure of whether Boies or any other members of his law firm are under federal investigation for the conduct described in the Times report and The Weekly. And if not, why not?

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Respectfully,



SCOTT A. SREBNICK
JOSE M. QUINON