

From: "████████ (USAFLS)" <████████>
To: Paul Cassell <████████>, Brad Edwards <████████>
Cc: "████████. (USAFLS)" <████████>
Subject: RE: Draft Protective Order - slight tweak
Date: Fri, 15 Aug 2008 18:24:20 +0000
Importance: Normal
Attachments: Protective_Order_v2.doc

Judge Cassell and Brad,

I have incorporated the change suggested by Judge Cassell to paragraph (c). I also added language in paragraph (e), referring to other victims, which also provides for petitioners' counsel to promptly provide a copy of the acknowledgment to the U.S. Attorney's Office. The government has no doubts that counsel for petitioners will ensure the authorized recipients are aware of the protective order and agree to abide by it, prior to disclosure. We do not require the acknowledgment in writing prior to the non-prosecution agreement being disclosed to an authorized recipient.

We believe the petitioners' proposed protective order goes well beyond what is at issue, the government's disclosure of the non-prosecution agreement, conditioned on limited dissemination of the document upon receipt by petitioners.

Consequently, we believe the attached proposed order, incorporating your revisions, is appropriate for the task at hand. Thanks.

████████

From: Paul Cassell [mailto:████████]
Sent: Friday, August 15, 2008 12:21 PM
To: Brad Edwards; ██████████ (USAFLS)
Cc: ██████████. (USAFLS)
Subject: RE: Draft Protective Order - slight tweak

Hello █████ and █████,

First, I don't know if we've been formally introduced. Nice to meet you ... electronically at least.

Second, on the language -- As Brad mentioned, we need to see this document quite quickly in view of the Government's representations yesterday that Epstein is trying to ignore the agreement. As a result -- and in view of the difficulty of making immediate contact with our clients -- I propose one change. Instead of this:

Prior to producing the documents to Petitioners' counsel, a copy of this Order must be provided to counsel and their clients, who must review and acknowledge their receipt of and agreement to abide by the terms of this Order, and who must provide a copy of that acknowledgment to the USAO.

How about this:

Before counsel for Petitioner's show the agreement to their clients or discuss the specific terms with them, they must provide a copy of this Order to Petitioners, who must review and acknowledge their

receipt of and agreement to abide by the terms of this Order. Counsel for Petitioner's must promptly provide a copy of that acknowledgment to the USAO.

I assume that the USAO is not concerned about us as attorneys somehow ignoring the Court's protective order, so this change would focus in on the non-law trained clients.

Paul G. Cassell
Ronald M. Boyce Presidential Professor of Law
S. J. Quinney College of Law
University of Utah
[REDACTED]

Salt Lake City, UT 84112
[REDACTED]
