

**From:** "████████ (USANYS)" <████████>  
**To:** "████████)" <████████>, "████████ (USANYS)"  
<████████>  
**Cc:** "████████)" <████████>, "████████)"  
<████████>

**Subject:** RE: Epstein Sharing Order Part II

**Date:** Thu, 30 Jan 2020 17:05:16 +0000

---

Just wanted to circle back on this – █, are you signed off? Is this something we could get over to Part I today or tomorrow? Thanks

**From:** █████ <████████>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 29, 2020 3:56 PM  
**To:** █████ (USANYS) <████████>; █████ (USANYS) <████████>  
**Cc:** █████ <████████>; █████ <████████>  
**Subject:** RE: Epstein Sharing Order Part II

█, is it the intent to share those? If so, I'll just add it to every mention of each document, but if not then it's unnecessary. I don't think those are substantive docs but I defer.

**From:** █████ (USANYS) <████████>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 29, 2020 15:55  
**To:** █████ <████████>; █████ (USANYS) <████████>  
**Cc:** █████ <████████>; █████ <████████>  
**Subject:** RE: Epstein Sharing Order Part II

Please add it in the right place and send me the order. Remember, I'm not a T&E lawyer. But you are getting to be

**From:** █████ <████████>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 29, 2020 3:22 PM  
**To:** █████ (USANYS) <████████>; █████ (USANYS) <████████>  
**Cc:** █████ <████████>; █████ <████████>  
**Subject:** RE: Epstein Sharing Order Part II

Yes, I think this document accurately describes the materials we've received, with just a note that it doesn't appear to include revocations, so to the extent those are intended to be shared, I think that word may need to be added.

thanks,

█.

---

**From:** █████ (USANYS) <████████>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 29, 2020 10:40  
**To:** █████ (USANYS) <████████>; █████ <████████>  
**Subject:** Epstein Sharing Order Part II

█ – I've updated the sharing order application to be one to share the additional trust and will documents we have now received. Would you take a look when you can?

EFTA00016816

■ – I've tried to describe the various materials we have gotten from the estate attorneys over the last two weeks. Would you mind taking a look (or asking a paralegal to take a look) to make sure I've accurately described/characterized the new stuff we're looking to share?

Thanks,

■